

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/706,227	11/03/2000	Erling H. Wold	AMC-00-003 6504		
28661 SIFRRA PATI	7590 01/09/2007 ENT GROUP, LTD.	EXAMINER			
1657 Hwy 395, Suite 202			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N		
Minden, NV 89423			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/706,227	WOLD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael N. Opsasnick	2626		

before the rining	or an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Michael N. Opsasnick	2626					
The MAILING DA	TE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after this application, applicar places the application in a Request for Continued time periods: 	a final rejection, but prior to or or nt must timely file one of the follow condition for allowance; (2) a No I Examination (RCE) in complian	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in committee with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the committee of th	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
b) The period for reply ex	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS		but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new iss (b) They raise the iss (c) They are not deen appeal; and/or (d) They present addi	sues that would require further co ue of new matter (see NOTE belo ned to place the application in be tional claims without canceling a See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	etter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying jected claims.	the issues for				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
non-allowable claim(s).								
how the new or amende	the proposed amendment(s): a) d claims would be rejected is pro s) is (or will be) as follows: .	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ winded below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 45-60	· ·).							
Claim(s) withdrawn from AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVID	consideration:							
The affidavit or other ev because applicant failed was not earlier presenter.	idence filed after a final action, but to provide a showing of good ared. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
entered because the aff showing a good and suf	idavit or other evidence failed to ficient reasons why it is necessal	g a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other e REQUEST FOR RECONSIDE		on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for recons See Continuation She	ideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments against the Savic reference are not persuasive. As per applicant's arguments that Savic does not teach differing hop sizes, examiner respectfully disagrees and notes that Savic's windowing function does allow for differing hop sizes -- the function X(mS,n) dictates that the overall size can be a multiple of the segment size; as well as teaching a windowing function with a differet size.

MruM Grand
Princy Examiner
Michael N. Opsasnick
1/8/06

AU 2676